

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DA | TE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/088,914 | 088,914 09/20/2002 | | Arne Stavland | 2002-0417A | 2771 | | |
| 513 | 7590 08 | 7590 08/30/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| WENDERO 2033 K STR | OTH, LIND & P | TUCKER, PHILIP C | | | | | |
| SUITE 800 | EET IV. W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 | | | | 1712 | | | |
| •• | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 08/30/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/088,914 | STAVLAND ET AL. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/000,914 | OIAVEAND ET AL. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Philip C. Tucker | 1712 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | | | | | | |
| □ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| MENDMENTS ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | | | | |
| I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | * ** | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | · | , | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a l). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).3. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | | _ | | | |
| | • | That | | | | |
| | _ | Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712 | | | | |

Application No. 10/088,914

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the claims by using "consists essentially of" raises new issues which require further consideration, and a possible new rejection.